We aim to develop the next-generation deblended far-IR and sub-mm catalogues in deep extragalactic survey fields, by extracting photometry at the positions of known sources. Our progressive deblending uses the Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC)-based Bayesian probabilistic framework XID+. The deblending process starts from the *Spitzer*/MIPS 24 μ m data, using an initial prior list of sources selected from the COSMOS2020 catalogue and radio catalogues from the VLA and the MeerKAT surveys, based on spectral energy distribution (SED) modelling which predicts fluxes of the known sources at the deblending wavelength. After deblending the 24 μ m data, we proceed to the *Herschel* PACS (100 & 160 μ m) and SPIRE bands (250, 350 & 500 μ m). Each time we construct a tailor-made prior list, taking into account the deblended photometry from the previous steps. For details of the performance of our deblending pipeline, please refer to the paper Wang L., La Marca A., Gao F. et al. 2024 "Probalilistic and progressive deblended far-infrared and submillimetre point source catalogues I. Methodology and first application in the COSMOS field".

We publicly release two versions of our deblended point source catalogue. The long version of the catalogue contains the full information, including source ID, positions, best estimates of the source flux densities and uncertainties, background estimates, residual confusion noise, total noise (formed by adding flux uncertainties and residual confusion noise in quadrature), and 3000 samplings from the full posterior probability distribution functions (PDFs) for each source (see Table 1). As the long version of the catalogue is a large file (> 11 GB), we also provide a short version (see Table 2). The main difference between the long and short version of the catalogue is that the short version does not contain the 3000 samplings of the posterior PDF.

Table 1: Columns contained in the long version of our XID+ deblended far-IR and sub-mm point source photometric catalogue. σ^+ can be calculated from the difference of the 84th percentile and the median. σ^- can be calculated from the difference between the median and the 16th percentile. The 1σ uncertainty can be derived from the maximum of σ^+ and σ^- . For a final estimate of the flux uncertainty, one can use the total error derived from combining the 1σ uncertainty and the residual confusion noise in quadrature.

Name	Unit	Description
ID	-	The COSMOS2020 ID (negative numbers for radio sources)
R.A.	-	Right Ascension from COSMOS2020 (or radio positions)
Dec	-	Declination from COSMOS2020 (or radio positions)
F_24	mJy	$24 \ \mu m$ flux density (median)
FErr_24_u	mJy	$24 \ \mu m$ flux density (84th Percentile);
FErr_24_l	mJy	$24 \ \mu m$ flux density (16th Percentile);
$FErr_24_1\sigma$	mJy	maximum of σ^+ and σ^-
Bkg_24	mJy/Beam	Fitted Background of 24 μ m map (median)
Sig_conf_24	mJy/Beam	Fitted residual noise component due to confusion (median)
Sig_tot_24	mJy/Beam	total error = $\sqrt{(\text{Sig}_c \text{conf}_2 4)^2 + (\text{FErr}_2 4_1 \sigma)^2}$
Rhat_24	-	Convergence Statistic (ideally < 1.2)
n_eff_24	-	Number of effective samples (ideally > 40)
Post_24	mJy	3000 samplings from the posterior PDF of the 24 μ m flux density
$tile_MIPS$	-	tile number
F_100/160	mJy	$100/160 \ \mu m$ flux density (median)
FErr_100/160_u	mJy	$100/160 \ \mu m$ flux density (84th Percentile)
FErr_100/160_l	mJy	$100/160 \ \mu m$ flux density (16th Percentile)
$FErr_{100}/160_{1}\sigma$	mJy	maximum of σ^+ and σ^-
$Bkg_{100}/160$	mJy/Beam	Fitted Background of $100/160 \ \mu m$ map (median)
Sig_conf_100/160	mJy/Beam	Fitted residual noise component due to confusion (median)
Sig_tot_100/160	mJv/Beam	total error = $\sqrt{(\text{Sig}_{\text{conf}_{-}100/160})^2 + (\text{FErr}_{-}100/160_{-}1\sigma)^2}$
Rhat_100/160	-	Convergence Statistic (ideally < 1.2)
n eff $100/160$	-	Number of effective samples (ideally > 40)
Post $100/160$	m.Jv	3000 samplings from the posterior PDF of the $100/160 \ \mu m$ flux density
tile_PACS	-	tile number
F_250/350/500	mJy	$250/350/500 \ \mu m$ flux density (median)
FErr_250/350/500_u	mJy	$250/350/500 \ \mu m$ flux density (84th Percentile)
FErr_250/350/500_l	mJv	$250/350/500 \ \mu m$ flux density (16th Percentile)
$FErr_{250}/350/500_{1}\sigma$	mJv	maximum of σ^+ and σ^-
Bkg_250/350/500	mJv/Beam	Fitted Background of $250/350/500 \ \mu m$ map (median)
Sig_conf_250/350/500	mJv/Beam	Fitted residual noise component due to confusion (median)
Sig tot $250/350/500$	m.lv/Beam	total error = $\sqrt{(\text{Sig conf } 250/350/500)^2 + (\text{FErr } 250/350/500 \ 1\sigma)^2}$
Bhat 250/350/500	-	Convergence Statistic (ideally < 1.2)
n eff $250/350/500$	_	Number of effective samples (ideally > 40)
Post 250/350/500	m.Iv	3000 samplings from the posterior PDF of the 250/350/500 μ m flux density
tile_SPIRE	-	tile number
F 850	m.Iv	850 µm flux density (median)
FErr 850 u	m.Jy	$850 \ \mu m$ flux density (84th Percentile)
FErr 850 1	m.Jy	850 µm flux density (16th Percentile)
FErr 850 1σ	m.Jy	maximum of σ^+ and σ^-
Bkg 850	mJy/Ream	Fitted Background of 850 µm man (median)
Sig conf 850	m Jy/Beam	Fitted residual noise component due to confusion (median)
Sig tot 850	m Jy/Beam	total error = $\sqrt{(\text{Sig conf } 850)^2 \pm (\text{FFrr } 850 \ 1\sigma)^2}$
Big_101_000 Bhat 850	məy/ Deam	$\frac{100}{100} = \sqrt{(5120001000)} + (1000010)^{-1}$
n off 850	-	Number of effective samples (ideally > 40)
Dogt 950	- m Irr	Number of effective samples (ideally > 40) 2000 compliants from the nontonion DDE of the 250 cm flow density
r ust_000	шју	solve samplings from the posterior FDF of the 850 μ m flux density
HIL COUDA		4:1h

Table 2: Columns contained in the short version of our XID+ deblended far-IR and sub-mm point source photometric catalogue.

Name	Unit	Description
ID	-	The COSMOS2020 ID (negative numbers for radio sources)
R.A.	-	Right Ascension from COSMOS2020 (or radio positions)
Dec	-	Declination from COSMOS2020 (or radio positions)
F_24	mJy	$24 \ \mu m$ flux density (median)
$FErr_24_1\sigma$	mJy	maximum of σ^+ and σ^-
Bkg_24	mJy/Beam	Fitted Background of 24 μ m map (median)
Sig_conf_24	mJy/Beam	Fitted residual noise component due to confusion (median)
Sig_tot_24	mJy/Beam	total error = $\sqrt{(\text{Sig}_conf_24)^2 + (\text{FErr}_24_1\sigma)^2}$
Rhat_24	-	Convergence Statistic (ideally < 1.2)
n_eff_24	-	Number of effective samples (ideally > 40)
F_100/160	mJy	$100/160 \ \mu m$ flux density (median)
$\mathrm{FErr}_{100}/160_{1\sigma}$	mJy	maximum of σ^+ and σ^-
$Bkg_{-}100/160$	mJy/Beam	Fitted Background of 100/160 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ map (median)
$Sig_conf_100/160$	mJy/Beam	Fitted residual noise component due to confusion (median)
$Sig_tot_100/160$	mJy/Beam	total error = $\sqrt{(\text{Sig}_{0.100/160})^2 + (\text{FErr}_{100/160_{100}})^2}$
Rhat_100/160	-	Convergence Statistic (ideally < 1.2)
$n_{eff_{-}100/160}$	-	Number of effective samples (ideally > 40)
F_250/350/500	mJy	$250/350/500 \ \mu m$ flux density (median)
$\mathrm{FErr}_250/350/500_1\sigma$	mJy	maximum of σ^+ and σ^-
$Bkg_250/350/500$	mJy/Beam	Fitted Background of $250/350/500 \ \mu m$ map (median)
$Sig_conf_250/350/500$	mJy/Beam	Fitted residual noise component due to confusion (median)
$Sig_tot_250/350/500$	mJy/Beam	total error = $\sqrt{(\text{Sig}_{\text{conf}} 250/350/500)^2 + (\text{FErr}_2 50/350/500_1 \sigma)^2}$
$Rhat_{-250}/350/500$	-	Convergence Statistic (ideally < 1.2)
$n_{eff_{250}/350/500}$	-	Number of effective samples (ideally > 40)
F_850	mJy	850 μ m flux density (median)
$\mathrm{FErr}_{-850}1\sigma$	mJy	maximum of σ^+ and σ^-
Bkg_850	mJy/Beam	Fitted Background of 850 μm map (median)
Sig_conf_850	mJy/Beam	Fitted residual noise component due to confusion (median)
Sig_tot_850	mJy/Beam	total error = $\sqrt{(\text{Sig_conf_850})^2 + (\text{FErr_850_1}\sigma)^2}$
Rhat_850	-	Convergence Statistic (ideally < 1.2)
n_eff_850	-	Number of effective samples (ideally > 40)